

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/531,579	10/12/2005	Marco Maria Gentile	3765-0114PUS1	1844	
2292	7590 03/10/2006		EXAMINER		
BIRCH STE	WART KOLASCH	PUTTLITZ, KARL J			
	RCH, VA 22040-074	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	•		1621		

DATE MAILED: 03/10/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/531,	579	GENTILE ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examin	er	Art Unit				
		Karl J. F	uttlitz	1621	_			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	ication appears on t	he cover sheet with	the correspondence add	dress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on 12 October 20	005.					
	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
٠,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4) 🖂	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are pending in the application.							
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
· · · · · ·	Claim(s) 1-3 is/are rejected.							
7)								
8) 🗌	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicati	on Papers							
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>18 April 2005</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
Attachment	` ·							
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔯 Inforr	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or r No(s)/Mail Date <u>Various</u> .			fail Date mal Patent Application (PTO)-152)			

Art Unit: 1621

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

The abstract is in multiple paragraphs – a substitute is required.

Specification

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the Specification requires a Brief Description of the Several Views of the Drawing(s): See MPEP § 608.01(f). A reference to and brief description of the drawing(s) as set forth in 37 CFR 1.74.

Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 recites that the pharmaceutical compositions are free of "supporting substances". It is unclear if Applicant intends to cover other anti-inflammatory agents, excipients, diluents, surfactants etc. Specifically, claim 3 recites buffers (i.e., sodium bicarbonate), which can be considered a supporting substance.

The term "the L-lysine salt of ketoprofen" in claim 2 lacks antecedent basis.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,342,530 to Darko (Darko).

Claim1 recites a pharmaceutical composition suitable for parenteral administration having anti-inflammatory and analgesic property, characterized in that it contains an alkylammonium salt of a 2-arylpropionic acid selected from ketoprofen,

Application/Control Number: 10/531,579

Art Unit: 1621

ibuprofen, naproxen or tiaprofenic acid, in racemic or in enantiomeric form, in an aqueous solution at a pH in the range between 8 and 9, said solution being free of preservatives, co-solvents and supporting substances.

With regard to the above embodiments, Darko teaches, in Example 4, a formulation of ibuprofen lysinate substantially free of any excipient, organic solvent, buffer, acid, base, or salt. The example makes reference to the subsequent tables, in which samples having a pH of 6.5-8.5 are recorded, see Table 1.

The forgoing anticipates claim 1 within the meaning of section 102.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Darko in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,895,789 to Gentile et al. (Gentile).

Claim 2 covers those embodiments wherein the alkylammonium salt of a 2-arylpropionic acid is an L-lysine salt of ketoprofen.

Claim 3 covers those embodiments wherein the L-lysine salt of ketoprofen (claim 2) contains sodium bicarbonate and sodium hydroxide.

Darko fails to explicitly teach the embodiments set forth in claims 2 and 3. It is for this proposition that the examiner joins Gentile. Specifically, Gentile teaches that other alkylammonium salts of 2-arylpropionic acids, such as ketoprofen lysinate, are prepared for injection without preservatives. see column 3, lines 8-32. In this connection, Darko even acknowledges that other alkylammonium salts of 2-arylpropionic acid are known to be prepared in the same manner, see description bridging columns 1 and 2 of Darko. Moreover, Darko teaches that sodium hydroxide is added to such preparations, see example 4. Therefore, those of ordinary skill would have been motivated to modify Darko to include other alkylammonium salts of 2-arylpropionic acids, such as an L-lysine salt of ketoprofen, since Gentile teaches that these agents are also beneficial in parenteral preparations. Therefore, claims 2 and 3 are prima facie obvious in view of Darko and Gentile since these references teach the elements of the rejected claims with a reasonable expectation of success.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Karl J. Puttlitz whose telephone number is (571) 272-0645. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 9 a.m. to 5 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Johann Richter, can be reached at telephone number (571) 272-0646. The

Application/Control Number: 10/531,579

Art Unit: 1621

Page 6

fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Karl J. Puttlitz Assistant Examiner